Family Name	Pemberton
Given Name	Peter
Person ID	1286781
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Family Name	Pemberton
Given Name	Peter
Person ID	1286781
Title	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant,	Council say we need to accept the plan or one will be imposed by Government. Residents being told this is the best option but there are better options available like renovating empty buildings (some are historic landmarks that could be used a apartments). Many residents are still not aware of the plans and have not had the opportunity to have a voice.
is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	A new plan needs to be developed that considers Global climate concerns and not just a re hash of the original plan. There are plenty of better solutions and a re think is needed to protect our environment.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	This plan needs to be scrapped and residents must be consulted so that all residents have a chance including those with learning difficulties or language barriers.
Family Name	Pemberton
Given Name	Peter
Person ID	1286781
Title	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
Туре	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively	Unsound
prepared?	
Soundness - Justified?	
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	accepted or the Government will enforce a worse one but I have been advised that this is not true, the Government is not going to force a plan through. Many residents find the information too difficult to understand and the
co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	information is misleading. We just need an honest plan that we can look at and decide on.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	This plan needs to be scrapped and we need a proper plan with more input from residents.
Family Name	Pemberton
Given Name	Peter
Person ID	1286781
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not	The plan is taking away Green Belt when there are other options that have been ignored like converting old mills and warehouses and empty shops that closed because of the pandemic. Residents have not had enough opportunity to see the plans and to respond as they have not all got access

to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Residents don"t feel that this council are knowledgable enough to make the
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	